



Single Stock Fund VIOC Readiness Review (VRR)



VRR Process Overview



Dave Wilder
10 May 02

Agenda

- **Test and Evaluation Process**
- **VIOC Readiness Topics**
- **VRR Voting Organizations**
- **VIOC Readiness Scorecard**



SSF MS3 Test & Evaluation

The Advisors

The Plans

Scope:
Milestone 3
Business
Processes

ETIWG Test Integration Working Group (TIWG)

OVERALL TEST & EVALUATION

- Test and Evaluation Master Plan (TEMP)
- SSF Directorate

Developmental
Testing & Evaluation
(DT&E)

Operational
Evaluation (OE)

Implementation

LEGACY SYSTEM CHANGES

- Internal Test Plans
- System Proponents

MIDDLEWARE

- Middleware Test Plan
- AT&T

CONVERSION SOFTWARE

- Conversion Test Plan
- AT&T

SYSTEMS INTEGRATION

TEST (SIT)

- SIT Plan
- AT&T - Test Director

VIOC EVALUATION

- VIOC Plan (Chapter 5)
- SSF Directorate

IMPLEMENTATION EVALUATION

- Implementation Plan
- SSF Directorate

VERIFICATION & VALIDATION

- SSF Directorate

- TL Data collection and analysis
- AAA will participate in validation

INDEPENDENT EVALUATION

- Independent Evaluation Plan
- AAA & AEC

The Measures

Test Cases based
on Requirements

Test Cases based on
Typical Expected
Transactions

Metrics related to
Operational Issues
(Showstoppers)

Critical
Metrics that show impacts in
order to make adjustments

The Decisions

SRR

VRR

GOWG

IRR

GOWG

ESC

CSA

Leadership Decision

Leadership Decision

VOIC Readiness Process

Goal: Evaluate Readiness for VIOC

Strategy: Use 3 Forums to Build to the Decision

 **Forum 1**


VTC
24 Apr 02

- Consensus on Critical VIOC Enablers & Rating Categories


TODAY

Forum 2
VRR

- Proponents Provide Status
- Audience Discuss Proponent Briefs
- Organizations Vote Readiness

Forum 3


GOWG
14 May
02

- Present outcome of VRR
- Seek Authority to Proceed from Army

Deputy G-4, in consultation with

VIOC Readiness Topics

- SIT Exit Criteria Met?
 - SIT Results
- VIOC Evaluation Readiness?
 - SSF Evaluation Readiness
 - Independent Evaluation Readiness
- VIOC Site Readiness?
 - Training Readiness
 - Conversion Readiness
 - Ft. Hood VIOC Team Readiness
 - Ft. Hood Installation VIOC Readiness
 - ARNG VIOC Readiness
 - USAR VIOC Readiness
 - National Manager VIOC Readiness
 - Non-VIOC Site Readiness



VRR Voting Organizations

VIOC Participants

- **AMC**
- **FORSCOM**
- **USAR**
- **USARNG**

VIOC Support Organizations

- **SSF Director**
- **DFAS**
- **AAA**

Other MS3 Organizations

- **TRADOC**
- **USAREUR**
- **USARPAC**
- **USARSO**
- **EUSA**
- **MDW**
- **ASA (FM&C)**



VRR Scorecard

		S S F R e c o m	A M C	F O R S C O M	U S A R	A R N G	D F A S	T R A D O C	U S A R E U R	U S A R P A C	U S A R S O	E U S A	M D W	A S A - F M & C	A A A	Issues	Get Well Plan
SIT	SIT Readiness															•	•
Evaluation Readiness	VIOC Evaluation Readiness															•	•
	Independent Evaluation Readiness															•	•
VIOC Site Readiness	Training Readiness															•	•
	Conversion Readiness															•	•
	Ft. Hood VIOC Team Readiness															•	•
	Ft. Hood Installation VIOC Readiness															•	•
	ARNG VIOC Readiness															•	•
	USAR VIOC Readiness															•	•
	National Manager VIOC Readiness															•	•
	Non-VIOC Site Readiness															•	•

VRR Rating Definitions

Green

No significant issues exist that would delay the VIOC

Amber

Significant issues exist, but get-well plan will allow solution to be put in place and validated during the VIOC

Red

Showstoppers exist that will prevent the VIOC

**Risks Embedded
Into These
Ratings**



Summary

- VRR Process was presented and approved at several forums:
 - ETIWG (Executive Test Integration Working Group) by MACOM COL/15 Representatives (Jan 02)
 - SSF GOWG (Jan 02)
 - VTC in preparation for VRR (Apr 02)
- Process will help us make an informed recommendation for GOWG (14 May02)



- **Backup Slides**



SIT Exit Criteria

- **All test segments in the Test Plan successfully completed**
 - **Some test segments may occur in more than one test case**
 - **Goal is to test functionality -- if functionality is successfully demonstrated in one test segment, completion of additional similar segments is not an exit requirement**
- **All CRITICAL priority PRs closed by start of VIOC**
- **All HIGH PRs closed by start of VIOC, except those approved to proceed at risk to VIOC**
- **All MODERATE PRs closed by start of VIOC or Dir, SSF recommends a waiver**



Problem Criticality

- Four levels
 - Critical: The software cannot field unless the SIT organizations correct the condition. Problem will cause severe readiness/financial impact.
 - High: Required business rule support is missing unless the SIT organizations correct the condition. There is no manual workaround.
 - Moderate: Required business rule support is missing unless the SIT organizations correct the condition, but there is an acceptable manual workaround. The SSF PD must provide a waiver to field under these conditions.
 - Low: An error condition exists, that does not affect system-required functionality. The SIT organizations can field with these conditions documented as known deficiencies.
- Tester/Testing Organization provides initial assessment of the criticality
- Conference call consensus determines reported criticality

